

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/691,389	10/22/2003	Zhu Jian Zhan	12553/104	7107	
7590 02/28/2005			EXAM	INER	
KENYON & KENYON Suite 600			NGUYEN, DUNG V		
333 W. San Ca	rlos Street	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
San Jose, CA	95110-2711		3723		
			DATE MAILED: 02/28/200	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Annlingtic	n No	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		Application 10/691,38		ZHAN ET AL.					
					<del></del>				
		Examiner		Art Unit					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	Dung V N	<u> </u>	3723	dross -				
Period for		ippears on the	e cover sneet with the c	orrespondence at	Juless				
THE M - Extensi after SI - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stationary received by the Office later than three months after the man patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no ever reply within the state od will apply and witute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be timutory minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of C (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	December 2	004.						
•	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.								
<i>'</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositio	n of Claims								
4)⊠ (	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
· · · · · ·	☐ Claim(s)is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5,8-14,18,19,21,24-27 and 31</u> is/are rejected.								
•	<ul> <li>✓ Glaim(s) 3.4.6.7.15-17.20,22,23 and 28-30 is/are objected to.</li> </ul>								
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicatio	n Papers								
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Exam	iner							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
, —	·								
-	ider 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)[_	cknowledgment is made of a claim for forei All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority docume			)-(d) or (1).					
2	Certified copies of the priority document			on No					
3	B. Copies of the certified copies of the p		•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	l Stage				
	application from the International Bure	•			· ·				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment(s	s)								
1) Notice									
3) 🛛 Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/No(s)/Mail Date 10/29/04.	08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PT	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/691,389

Art Unit: 3723

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The indicated allowability of claims 1-31 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Mahadev et al and Fukuroi et al. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 5, 8, 9, 12, 13, 18, 19, 21, 24, 26, 27 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mahadev et al (US 2004/0087253). Mahadev et al discloses a system for manufacturing a hard disk drive head slider 205b comprising an edge blending jig to bond a number of head sliders 205b for edge blending by lapping tape 203, wherein the blending jig is configured to receive a portion of lapping tape 203 between each of a number of the sliders 205b and to allow the lapping tape 203 to partially wrap an edge of each slider 205b, the edge blending is performed by relative movement or directional oscillation between the sliders 205b and the lapping tape 203, wherein a first angle between a first face of the slider 205b and the lapping tape 203 and with a second angle between a second face of the slider 205b and the lapping tape 203, the first angle and second angle is between 3 and 90 degrees,

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/691,389

Art Unit: 3723

wherein the lapping tape 203 has a lapping surface covered with diamond powder having a grade less than 3 microns, wherein the lapping tape is greater than 1.2 millimeters in width, wherein a row bar is bonded to the edge blending jig and the row bar is separated into individual head sliders 205b by a slider parting tool. Mahadev et al also discloses a method for manufacturing a hard disk drive head slider comprising bonding a head slider row bar to an edge blending jig, separating the row bar into a number of head sliders 205b, inserting a lapping tape 203 between each head slider 205b bonded to an edge blending jig, adjusting the edge blending jig to cause the lapping tape 203 to partially wrap and edge of each slider 205b, edge blending the head sliders 205b by relative movement or directional oscillation between the slider 205b and the lapping tape 203 (note Fig. 3-6, paragraph [0020] to [0029]).

4. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mahadev et al (US 2004/0087253) as evidence by Fukuroi et al (US 6,398,623). Mahadev et al discloses the claimed invention as described above, however, Mahadev et al does not specify that the parting tool as known in the prior art is a diamond cutting wheel. The parting tool as known in the prior art is a diamond cutting wheel as evidenced by Fukuroi et al (note col. 1, lines 22-33). Thus, the parting tool used by Mahadev et al inherently is a diamond cutting wheel.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/691,389

**Art Unit: 3723** 

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 11 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mahadev et al (US 2004/0087253). Mahadev et al discloses the claimed invention as described above, however, Mahadev et al does not discloses that a lapping tape has a thickness between 40 and 100 microns. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made of select the thickness between 40 and 100 microns, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

### Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 3, 4, 6, 7, 15-17, 20, 22, 23 and 28-30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

- 8. Applicant's submission of an information disclosure statement under 37 CFR 1.97(c) with the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p) on 29 October 2004 prompted the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS**MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 609(B)(2)(i). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 9. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/691,389

Art Unit: 3723

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Dung V Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-

4490. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 6:30-3:00.

11. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Joseph J Hail can be reached on 571-272-4485. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DVN

February 22, 2005

Jung vom hogugu

PRIMARY EXAMINER